

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/992,008             | MILLS ET AL.        |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Inder P. Mehra         | 2617                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kendal Sheets, Attorney, Reg. No. 32,076.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2006
**Time:** 4 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

claims 1, 10 and 20

**Prior art documents discussed:**


None


**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

As set forth in Examiner's Amendment in office action, the antecedent basis and claim dependency of claim 10 were discussed and relevant corrections made.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JOHN PEZZLO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 \_\_\_\_\_

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)